

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,174	10/30/2003	Jonathan Levene	SNS-016	8152	
51414 GOODWIN PF	7590 09/27/2007 ROCTER LLP		EXAMINER		
	MINISTRATOR		AMIN, JWALANT B		
EXCHANGE PLACE BOSTON, MA 02109-2881			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,174	LEVENE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jwalant Amin	2628		

	Jwalant Amin	2628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence ado	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee								
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), $t_{ m i}$	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	· '	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	I⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 46-51.								
Claim(s) objected to: 19.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-18,20-29,32-34 and 52-57</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hoforo or on the date of filing a N	Jotice of Appeal will n	ot be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	:hed.					
11. M The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	100 8	7					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:		ary						
			AN					
		MARK ZIMMERM	MIN TYARAINED					
	SUF	PERVISORY PATENT	R 2600					

Application No. 10/697,174

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 27 and 55 has not been entered because adding the limitation "thereby preventing opacity of the selected region of the protected image from decreasing below the specified nonzero opacity" to these claims, raises new issues by changing the scope of these claims, which requires further consideration and/or search. It should also be noted that the amendment to claims 1 and 52 does not place the application in condition for allowance because the prior art still reads onto the limitation as the examiner interprets that it is not required to accumulate the plurality of brush strokes into the second texture first and then finally perform the blending.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment has not been entered. Please refer to the note above.